

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH-AFRICA

HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA

NOTICE REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S DIRECTIVES FOR THE UNOPPOSED MOTION COURT BEFORE JUDGE STRIJDOM ON 08 APRIL 2024 TO 12 APRIL 2024.

Secretary: Xoliswa Vilakazi – XVilakazi@judiciary.org.za

- The proceedings will be conducted in Open Court starting at 10:00am.
 Please see the daily roll for court room number.
- A complete Practice Note, stating the nature of the application for the date on which the application is on the roll, should be filed on Caselines setting out at least the following:
 - 2.1 Was the matter previously postponed? If so, what was the reason for the postponement?
 - 2.2 If the papers consist of more than just the application concerned, (for instance, previous applications or pleadings not relevant to the application) the application must be properly identified by reference to the section and page number to avoid a search for it;
 - 2.3 The return of service and set down relevant to the date of hearing must be identified by reference to the section and page number;
 - 2.4 If personal service is necessary, (for instance in sequestration or rule46 A applications) it must be stated and if this requirement was not complied with, reasons must be provided;
 - 2.5 Where certain practice or legal requirements have to be complied with (for instance in Rule 46A applications) compliance with all those

requirements must be clearly stated and then also identified in the papers by reference to the section, page number(s) and paragraph;

- 2.6 If there is any problem with the application, it must be clearly identified and disclosed. If there is no problem, it must be submitted that the papers are in order;
- 2.7 Name and contact details of counsel and the attorney (tel. no. and email address) must be stated.
- If there is any other information to be conveyed, it must also be stated in the practice note.
- The filing of heads of argument will not replace the duty to file a practice note in terms of this Directive.
- In all applications where heads of argument must be filed, a failure to do so may also result in the application being struck from the roll.
- 6. Draft Orders, indicating the date and particulars of the Judge and correct case number, must be filed on Caselines for the court to consider.
- The Practice Note, Draft order and/or heads of argument (if applicable) must be filed by no later than 8:00am on the last court day before the hearing.
- 8. It is the responsibility of the applicant's attorney to ensure that the matter is TIMEOUSLY, PROPERLY AND FULLY uploaded onto Caselines and that the Judge and his clerk will have access to the matter at the latest at 8:00 on the day before the date of hearing to enable the Judge to read the papers. Thereafter the matters will be frozen on case lines. Late filing or updates will not be accepted.
- When counsel refer the Judge to specific documents during the court hearing, it must be done via Caselines using the "PRESENT" function on caselines.
- 10. Please do not request the judge's secretary to stand matters down via email.

JJ STRIJDOM

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

<u>PRETORIA</u>

08 APRIL 2024

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE STRIJDOM J

<u>46.</u>	STD BANK LTD	VS	J KGOFELO+1	118498/23
<u>47.</u>	NEDBANK LTD	VS	R FORTUIN	53167/21
<u>48.</u>	ABSA BANK LTD	VS	S B MNGOMEZULU	116781/23
<u>49.</u>	C BEHMER	VS	N J LOGGENBERG	121987/23
<u>50.</u>	EX PARTE: D P LUBBE			021775/24
<u>51.</u>	EX PARTE: J A DIQUE+1			022361/24
<u>52.</u>	CVI SHACKLETON LTD	VS	R JACOBS	28315/22
<u>53.</u>	B/C OF CALEDON	VS	A DE FIGUEIREDO	30490/22
<u>54.</u>	M SKOSANA	VS	B SKOSANA+1	63564/11
<u>55.</u>	R MASHABA	VS	RAF	70769/18
<u>56.</u>	H A BROOKER TRUST	VS	RAF	132370/23
<u>57.</u>	FNB BANK LTD	VS	S TSHEPE	093917/22

<u>58.</u>	M J BEZUIDENHOUT	VS	E DU PLESSIS	005012/24
<u>59.</u>	N A YENDE	VS	SAB LTD	73087/19
<u>60.</u>	STD BANK LTD	VS	E S HLATSWAYO+1	35955/22
<u>61.</u>	NEDBANK LTD	VS	T LETLOLE	006882/24
<u>62.</u>	S BARASHISHO	VS	REFUGE APPEAL BOARD	65219/19
<u>63.</u>	M MOGOTLANE	VS	RAF	51015/17
<u>64.</u>	ABSA BANK LTD	VS	J O SOLADOYE	<u>B3144/23</u>
<u>65.</u>	J S MALULEKE	VS	RAF	46583/18
<u>66.</u>	ABSA BANK LTD	VS	T S MTHETHWA+1	019159/23
<u>67.</u>	J MARABE	VS	RAF	49289/20
<u>68.</u>	FNB BANK LTD	VS	G B OLIPHANT	011108/23
<u>69.</u>	SB GUARANTEE	VS	N MAGAQA	057385/22
<u>70.</u>	SB GUARANTEE	VS	O J SEKHANTSA+1	108811/23

<u>71.</u>	D D KOLA	VS	MASTER OF COURT, PTA	119364/23
<u>72.</u>	ABSA BANK LTD	VS	KAIROS KINGDOM LTD+1	106163/23
73.	ABE CONSTRUCTION	VS	INDUSTRIAL PROJECTS	034741/22
74	Α Γ ΜΑСΗΑΚΑ+1	VS	MIN OF HOME AFFAIRS	006945/24
<u>/ .</u>		¥5	WIN OF HOME ATTAINS	000343/24
75		VC		017177/24
<u>75.</u>	S CHIMEZIE	VS	MIN OF HOME AFFAIRS	017127/24
<u>76.</u>	B/C OF PETUNIA	VS	С М МОКОU	035128/23
<u>77.</u>	B/C OF SUNNYSIDE	VS	B H MOTLOA+1	001986/24
<u>78.</u>	B/C OF THEATRE GARDENS	VS	E MATLALA	076798/23
<u>79.</u>	TRUE ORBIT LTD	VS	BIZ AFRIKA CONSTRUCTION	090154/23
<u>80.</u>	STD BANK LTD	VS	P HADEBE	082179/23
<u>81.</u>	M J KHASU	VS	MIN OF POLICE	021178/23

BY THE TIME THIS ROLL WAS FINALISED THE UNDERMENTIONED COURT ONLINE

MATTERS WERE NOT DISPLAYING DOCUMENT ON CASELINE

<u>82.</u>	SB GUARANTEE	VS	S MORGAN	078411/23
<u>83.</u>	H J VICTOR	VS	MAFISA MINING	117276/23
<u>84.</u>	H J VICTOR	VS	MARAUDER HOUSE	111179/23
<u>85.</u>	H J VICTOR	VS	NAMA STONES LTD	111181/23
<u>86.</u>	H J VICTOR	VS	SERITONETIX	111830/23
<u>87.</u>	N4GATEWAY OWNERS ASS	VS	MAMIKI CAPITAL INVESTMEN	<u>TS001476/23</u>
<u>88.</u>	NDPP			040560/22
<u>89.</u>	STD BANK LTD	VS	M H MABUNDA	093159/23
<u>90.</u>	EOH MTHOMBO LTD	VS	VERIDIAN INTERNATIONAL	061323/23

<u>10 APRIL 2024</u>

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE STRIJDOM J

<u>42.</u>	W HARTZENBERG	VS	RAF	031996/23
<u>43.</u>	BUSINESS VENTURE	VS	L E MOTALA	110111/23
<u>44.</u>	PARETO LTD	VS	L E MOTALA	107785/23
<u>45.</u>	GEMS	VS	OLA'S UNIQUE BEAUTY NAIL	105516/23
<u>46.</u>	VETA INVESTMENTS	VS	TAN MALIE SE WINKEL+1	106610/23
<u>47.</u>	VETA INVESTMENTS	VS	TAN MALIE SE WINKEL+1	107649/23
<u>48.</u>	VHARANANI PROPERTIES	VS	MEC OF HUMAN SETTLEMETS	090542/23
<u>49.</u>	M LE ROUX	VS	L ENGELBRECHT	074549/23
<u>50.</u>	ABSA BANK LTD	VS	F CHADAMBUKA+1	034442/23
<u>51.</u>	A QURESHI	VS	DG HOME AFFAIRS	077675/23
<u>52.</u>	ASTRON ENERGY LTD	VS	TSHEPO TRANSPORT	015390/23
<u>53.</u>	B/C OF INCASA	VS	M L GUMEDE	048966/23

<u>54.</u>	B/C OF JEANADRI	VS	P RAMALEBANA	021310/22
<u>55.</u>	B/C OF VERONICA	VS	A J DE FREITAS	014186/23
<u>56.</u>	B/C OF VISAGIE COURT	VS	I T SKOSANA	022847/22
<u>57.</u>	YWU	VS	DG HOME AFFAIRS	103530/23
<u>58.</u>	C WILSNACH	VS	RAF	134072/23
<u>59.</u>	FNB BANK LTD	VS	J PALANY	005083/24
<u>60.</u>	NEDBANK LTD	VS	L T THAANE	105628/23
<u>61.</u>	IEMAS FINANCIAL LTD	VS	C MHANGO	34256/20
<u>62.</u>	M A MOLELE	VS	JUBILEE HOSPITAL+1	10370/22
<u>63.</u>	N LIMBANE	VS	RAF	<u> 19434/18</u>
<u>64.</u>	C/C OF ST TROPEZ	VS	L SINGH	42344/21
<u>65.</u>	EX PARTE; C VAN NIEKERK			022681/24
<u>66.</u>	MAN FINANCIAL LTD	VS	XILUMANI HOLDINGS LTD	14508/18

<u>67.</u>	NEDBANK LTD	VS	G N PHOSHOKO	123449/23
<u>68.</u>	ACTION PROCEEDINGS	VS	CITY OF TSHWANE	9734/22
<u>69.</u>	NEDBANK LTD	VS	S K LERUMO	126836/23
<u>70.</u>	E K MATHEBULA		VS RAF	10303/22
<u>71.</u>	CHANGING TIDES	VS	F D MALEBYE+1	B4408/23
<u>72.</u>	Z M ISAACS	VS	RAF	10344/22
<u>73.</u>	NEDBANK LTD	VS	L N MALULEKE	024786/23
<u>74.</u>	L C MOFOMME	VS	MIN OF POLICE	8189/14
<u>75.</u>	M C MATHABA	VS	RAF	44011/18
<u>76.</u>	NEDBANK LTD	VS	R N PETA	045375/23

BY THE TIME THIS ROLL WAS FINALISED THE UNDERMENTIONED COURT ONLINE

MATTERS WERE NOT DISPLAYING DOCUMENT ON CASELINE

77	A FRODSHAM	VS CII		102351/23
//.	A FRODSHAIVI	V3 CI	FC	102331/23

78.	KAL TIRE MINING TYRE	VS	XBS LOGISTICS	119110/23
79.	KAL TIRE MINING TYRE	VS	AMOGELANG LOGISTICS	120419/23
<u>80.</u>	EX PARTE: M J LOURENS			126394/23
<u>00</u> .				120354/25
01	MALILA COST SYSTEMS	VS	NEMASISI INC	065871/23
<u>81.</u>	IVIALILA CUST STSTEIVIS	V5		005871/23
<u>82.</u>	FNB BANK LTD	VS	T NTE	105079/23
<u>83.</u>	ABSA BANK LTD	VS	K T SESOKO	113595/23